Meeting Summary

Project / Initiative:	FASAMS
Meeting Purpose:	Data Advisory Committee (DAC) Meeting
Meeting Date:	6/29/2021
Meeting Time:	10:00am - 11:00am

	Attendee	Office		Attendee	Office
x	Ronesha Jefferson	SAMH	x	Danielle Downing	Credible
x	Richard Power	SAMH		Natalie Kelly	FAME
x	Greg Nix	SAMH		Paul Bebee	FAME
	Tracey Fannon	SAMH	x	Jesse Lindsey	FEI
x	Kyle Knowles	SAMH		Andrew Barden	FEI
x	Ed De Cardenas	SAMH		Rodney Pritchard	Knight Software
x	Christi Anderson	SAMH		Josh Botbol	Let's Talk Counseling
x	Jimmers Micallef	SAMH		Arthur Cooksey	Let's Talk Interactive
	Heather Allman	SAMH		Jason Lee	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Maura Comer	SAMH	x	Jennifer Ramirez	LSF
	Mike Idoni	BHCPNS		Ryan Lavender	Netsmart
x	Beau Frierson	BHCPNS		Andy Mead	Netsmart
x	Sharyn Dodrill	Carisk		Seana Zagar	Qualifacts
x	Diego Wartensleben	Carisk		Matt Lightner	Streamline
x	Larry Brown	CFBHN	x	Katie Morrow	Streamline
	Joseph Glidden	CFBHN	x	Johnny Guimaraes	Thriving Mind (SFBHN)
x	Joanne Szocinski	CFBHN	x	Debbie Stephenson	Five Points
x	Nydia Neris	CFBHN		Bryan Micu	LSF
x	Mike Lupton	CFCHS	x	Dan Field	
x	Tom Rose	CFCHS	x	Chris Jones	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	William Garcia	CFCHS	x	Corey Chafin	SAMH, NW Region
	Steve Lord	Circles of Care	x	Lori Nicolosi	
x	Nathan McPherson	OITS	x	Daniel Oria	SEFBHN
	Mark Granto	OITS			
x	Victor Gaines	OITS			
	Wen Cao	OITS			

Meeting Summary

Agenda and Discussion Summary

#	Topic	Comments
1	Review Action Items	(Reference THIS spreadsheet)
2	Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data	## Plans for Submission of Historical Data

Plans for Submission of Historical Data

Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion
SFBHN	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	7/2/21
LSF	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	7/2/21
CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	Complete
CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	7/2/21
NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	Complete
BBHC (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete
SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete

#	Topic	Comments
3	Open Issues	**1. Timeline for the roll out of the new OCAs *NEW*** Jimmers will share details regarding the roll out of the new OCA values. 7/12 – SAMH completes first draft of the OCA tables 7/16 – FY 21-22 OCA tables distributed to the ME's for feedback and suggestions/requests for changes in the various codes

7/21 – ME Feedback due with specific recommendations/requests for revisions
8/6 – FY 21-22 OCA tables confirmed and put in place for reporting on utilization to begin for the July 2021 upload

Jimmers stated he is expecting a release from the budget office of the allocation plan today, so that everyone can start looking at the details. It will simultaneously be sent to Barney for preparation of the schedule of funds. This is no guarantee, but it was part of yesterday's discussion with the executive team and assistant secretaries. He will also be taking time to add information and updates to the table in the appendix that gives the crosswalk of OCA covered services project codes. Greg says the goal is to have the initial draft on the 12th of July and distribute on the 16th of July. All feedback needs to be submitted back by the 21st of July for Jimmers and his team to review. This will then be published and made available on August 6th. Jimmers added they are expecting a lot of OCAs as they are required to keep the supplemental block grant for both SAPT and community mental health reporting separate from the normal block grant. In this case you will see mirrored OCAs. Larry asked who will this be distributed to? Jimmers answered this will go out through the regional contract managers and regional directors. Mike said he would assume that with the supplemental block grant funds we don't want to spend those before regular block grant but do concurrent to make sure we are drawing from both consistently throughout the year. Jimmers says this question will have to be taken to the block grant managers for an accurate response.

2. FASAMS "Unexpected Error"
FEI will provide an update on their monitoring of this issue.

Jesse said they've been monitoring and haven't come across any errors as of the 18th. Diego stated the errors have been within specific files not overall. Jesse asked him to send this example over a they arise so it can be updated. Jennifer said she received a global error since this update and will send her snapshot as well.

3. Administrative Discharge Question
Some of Netsmart's providers have asked questions about Administrative Discharges. Do they still exist in FASAMS and if so, what the criteria is, how it affects Treatment Episode/Services data submitted, and how they are to be handled?

Administrative discharge is still identified in Chapter 5 for DischargeReasonCode

Field	Value Type	Description/Validation Rules

DischargeReasonCode	string	**The code indicating the outcome of the treatment episode or discontinuance of treatment.**
		• Required
		• Must be one of the following values:
		  ○ **1** for Successfully Completed Treatment/Services
		  ○ **2** for Did not Complete Treatment-Voluntary (Examples: (lost contact, left against medical advice, eloped, failed to return from leave, and individual choice)
		  ○ **3** for Did Not Complete Treatment-Involuntary (Examples: Administrative discharge (no longer eligible for services, funding source change, assessment only, agency closure)
		  ○ **4** for Successfully Completed--Transferred to Another Provider
		  ○ **5** for Incarcerated
		  ○ **6** for Death
		  ○ **8** for Transferred to State Mental Health Treatment Facility

Pamphlet 155-2 Chapter 5, Version 14.0

Last Revision Date: 05/24/2021   Effective Date: 7/01/2021   Page 59 of 68

Field	Value Type	Description/Validation Rules
		○ **9** for Client Moved Out of Service Area ○ **10** for Client Only Received Non-Treatment Services (e.g. assessment, detox, intervention, prevention, etc.) ○ **14** for Did Not Complete Treatment-Transferred to Another Provider (i.e. Long Term Medical Care) • This field is part of TEDS minimum data set for reporting DIS 10 – Reason for Discharge, Transfer or Discontinuance of Treatment Discharge. **Note: Vocabulary Codes under review and may be updated by DCF policy makers.**

Rich stated there is an administrative discharge, and the big issue is how to answer the questions on POMS. He will workout what used to be done in SAMAS. At some point the rules will be relaxed to allow for everyone to not answer POMS in case of a discharge reason code or at least selectively not answer POMS in the case of an administrative discharge. Rich asked if seeing administrative discharges is common. Larry said it can be. He then said having the ability to leave fields blank or NA is needed. Rich agreed.

4. Option to include PAC in POM
Some of our stakeholders have asked us to consider options to include PAC in the POM without having to wait for version 15. This would make it easier for them to submit cooccurring admissions. We understand the need to not make significant changes to the system (i.e. data structure changes) without providing 6 months' notice to the field. FEI has presented an option that might not require providers to make any changes to their submissions if choose not to; but, allow some providers a new option if they so choose.
The details of this proposal were discussed in the previous meeting and stakeholders were asked to consider how this would impact their organizations and providers. This week we'll collect feedback.

Nathan opened the floor for some feedback. Beau stated it would work for them either way, to use or not to use. He believes this will be more of a concern for the MEs as they've already done their coding and would be the ones to have to change everything to use it. Rich said the key is there's no obligation. Beau said it is because as the provider once he puts it in the MEs must be able to accept it. Nathan asked if the MEs think they are ready for this change. Larry answered no. He said the anticipated November date is workable, but anything sooner is not.

		Mike, Diego, Debbie, Johnny, and Jennifer agreed. Beau suggested circling back in August to see if November works as things change.
		5. Required fields for FARS/CFARS Sharyn explained these fields within Chapter 5 need to be required. Sharyn explained her concern is if we are going to stay with FARS/CFARS usage they need to become a required field otherwise they will not be filled in like they are running into now. Greg agreed but thinks the problem is policy issues that would need to be addressed first. Christi asked is it in Chapter 5 what she's referring to. Sharyn answered yes and the chapter was pulled up for reference.

New Decisions

#	Decision Maker	Description
1	Nathan McPherson	We will submit an enhancement request to include PAC as an option in the POM record. This will not be implemented any earlier than November 2021.

New Open Issues

#	Issue Description
1	Are we going to create carry forward modifiers for next year?
2	What changes will be included in Chapter 5 section 3.6?