Meeting Summary

Project / Initiative:	FASAMS
Meeting Purpose:	Data Advisory Committee (DAC) Meeting
Meeting Date:	4/13/2021
Meeting Time:	10:00am - 11:00am

	Attendee	Office		Attendee	Office
x	Katie Morrow		x	Danielle Downing,	Credible
x	Richard Power	SAMH		Natalie Kelly	FAME
x	Greg Nix	SAMH		Paul Bebee	FAME
x	Tracey Fannon	SAMH	x	Jesse Lindsey	FEI
	Shivana Gentry	SAMH		Andrew Barden	FEI
x	Ed De Cardenas	SAMH		Rodney Pritchard	Knight Software
	Nathan McPherson	OITS		Josh Botbol	Let's Talk Counseling
	Mark Granto	OITS		Arthur Cooksey	Let's Talk Interactive
	Victor Gaines	OITS		Jason Lee	Let's Talk Interactive
x	Wen Cao	OITS		Jennifer Ramirez	LSF
	Mike Idoni	BHCPNS	x	Ryan Lavender	Netsmart
	Beau Frierson	BHCPNS		Andy Mead	Netsmart
x	Sharyn Dodrill	Carisk		Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Diego Wartensleben	Carisk		Lisa Tajdari	NWFHN (BBCBC)
x	Larry Brown	CFBHN		Roderick Harris	NWFHN (BBCBC)
	Joseph Glidden	CFBHN		Seana Zagar	Qualifacts
x	Joanne Szocinski	CFBHN		Matt Lightner	Streamline
x	Nydia Neris	CFBHN		Katie Morrow	Streamline
x	Mike Lupton	CFCHS	x	Johnny Guimaraes	Thriving Mind (SFBHN)
x	Tom Rose	CFCHS	x	Debbie Stephenson	Five Points
x	William Garcia	CFCHS		Bryan Micu	
	Steve Lord	Circles of Care	x	Dan Field	
	Lori Nicolosi		x	Chris Jones	
x	Ronesha Jefferson	SAMH			

X – Attended in person
R – Attended remotely

Meeting Summary

Agenda and Discussion Summary

#	Topic	Comments
1	Review Action Items	Nathan began the meeting with review of action items.
2	Review Plans for Submission of Historical Data	## Plans for Submission of Historical Data (see table below)

Plans for Submission of Historical Data

Submitting Entity	Plan Description	Estimated Completion
SFBHN	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21
LSF	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	4/30/21
CFCHS (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/30/21
CFBHN	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	~~2/28/21~~ ~~3/5/21~~ 3/26/21
NWF Health (formerly BBCBC) (Five Points supported)	Will request a purge of V13 and will resubmit V13	4/30/21
BBHC (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete
SEFBHN (Carisk supported)	Will convert all historical data into v14 and request a wipe of v13 data from FEI	Complete

Nathan then moved to this item. He identified LSF and SFBHN are slated to complete their purges by the end of the month. He asked Danielle for elaboration. She reported the original plan was based on the purge being completed on 4/9. This was not done she asked for some flexibility to account for the purge. Jesse spoke to this item and said he would have a full report to provide DCF tomorrow, but everything is all set and ready to go for the purges to begin processing. Debbie asked a question if their data would be deleted in the purge. Nathan said this was a good question and asked Rich to provide input on the issue of new data being entered right before the proposed purge. Specifically she asked if they need to be

		submitting new data when that data will only be purged a short while after. Debbie clarified to Rich that they are currently submitting the monthly data but with the new information about the purge being capable of running by the end of this week, she requested clarification if they should submit March data now or after the purge due to the fact it will take them about a month to reupload everything. Rich asked for confirmation that they are ready for the purge. Debbie responded in the affirmative. Rich said he had no problem with that as it would be silly to upload that data only to delete it a few days later. Jesse reviewed the importance of the purge due to duplicate records in v13. Mike spoke up saying if Rich needs numbers then to reach out because everyone is happy to help. Jennifer spoke up that she lost her access to UAT and wanted to know if anyone else had experienced this problem. Jesse said he would follow up, then clarified that the access is the SMSS and he would check on that and let her know. Nathan then wrapped up this discussion by asking Larry Brown if their issues are resolved. Larry said he had an extensive conversation with Joe about this and he can begin the purge at the end of this week (CFBHN). Larry confirmed to Nathan that the current date of 4/30 is still good to go as he has no disagreement or identified need to change that target date. Jesse asked if everyone has a PROD date in mind. No response. Jesse clarified that he simply is seeking target dates for all party's data being purge from v13 PROD. Johnny said he is nearing comfort on purging the PROD data and then begin the resubmission of historical data. Nathan went over the changes made from v13 to v14 and the plans of what to implement in v15. Nathan then posed the question "is it possible for MEs to only submit to FASAMS the data that has changed". Steve then went into detail why he felt from his standpoint this would be a big lift.
3	Open Issues	**1. DCF's review of valid OCA combinations** DCF staff have drafted a reorganized version Pamphlet 155-2, Appendix 1, Table 7 (OCA Codes) as discussed in previous meetings. **Update**: DCF staff are populating the "Expiration Date" column in the set of historical OCA codes. The updated version of Appendix 1 should be published next week with these changes. **11 FY 2020–21 Historical OCA Codes**

Code	Name	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Valid Covered Services	Valid Project Codes	Valid Programs	Valid Funds
MH010	ME MH Miami Dade Homeless Trust	7/1/2018		01, 02, 08, 10, 11, 12, 14, 19, 25, 26, 28, 35, 44, 45, 46, 47	A8, B3, B6, B7	1-AMH 2-ASA 3-CMH 4-CSA 5-ASA/AMH 6-CSA/CMH	SAMH (2), Local Match (5)
MH012	ME Apalachee Center – Forensic Treatment Services	7/1/2017		39	A8, B3, B6, B7	1-AMH 3-CMH 5-ASA/AMH 6-CSA/CMH	SAMH (2), Local Match (5)
MH013	PTSD Clinic UCF	7/1/2017		01, 04, 11, 14, 35, 46 47	B6	1-AMH 3-CMH	SAMH (2),

Nathan then moved to this topic. He reported the finalized OCA tables is tentatively scheduled for release next week. No discussion held

2. Reporting Provider Readiness
DCF needs help from our Managing Entities to assess and report the readiness of each of our service providers to submit FASAMS data in v14 format after 7/1/2021. **Update**: Data collection spreadsheets and instructions have been sent to each Managing Entity. The first submission is due by 4/16/2021, subsequent submissions are due every two weeks thereafter.

Nathan then moved to this item. He asked the meeting if there were any issues with this plan. Johnny said there's no issue with obtaining the info just that the nature of the providers means obtaining that data would take some time. Johnny said the problem he has is that he shares providers with Broward, so this creates an unavoidable delay in gathering that information and data.

3. ME Access to FASAMS Base Tables
On 4/2/2021, DCF met with the users provided this access. These users were provided with credentials and instructions to connect to the base tables in the UAT environment. Access to the PROD environment will be granted to these users later this week.
No change in status

Nathan then turned to this item. He said the PROD access should happen this week.

4. Credible's Request to Disable a PAC validation -
Danielle Downing has requested that FASAMS turn off validations on the POM against the Program Area Code in the Treatment Episode. Credible submits Year-to-Date each month and will run into a problem with these validations.
Update: DCF evaluated this request and determined the large number of validations that would have to be disabled to satisfy this request would likely have a significant impact on data quality. Therefore, this request is denied. DCF also evaluated other potential system changes to help Credible. All were costly and would require significant time to implement. Next, we need to consider how Credible might adjust their reporting processes to resolve this issue (e.g. discontinue year to date submissions, submit updated POM records, etc.)

Nathan then turned to this item. He provided a brief review of the issue Credible has encountered. He reported that DCF determined this was too large an ask. He reviewed some of the options discussed with FEI and in house and none of them were determined as a viable solution. Steve spoke up. He said if a client is admitted under PAC 1, then six months later they begin SA services, they then have to return to the original admission to change it to 5 which makes it co-occurring. Rich reviewed the decision to eliminate program service codes that don't align with the OCA. Steve clarified that admission would not change but service would, but this change will bounce the data because the original POM doesn't align with the new classification of the client. Jesse said only an error would be generated but the data would be accepted. (got very technical starting around 38:00 and ending around 45:00). Jesse said the current set up would have no impact on Steve's reporting. Nathan spoke to process and asked if there was a way to not resubmit every client data, and instead just update the record and reduce the load from, say, 100,000

clients and instead have only 1,000 clients to populate in the system. He then provided a review of the process that began with FASAMS DDI to the present moment. He reviewed the 6 month rule for significant structural changes. He asked the group that with access to the base tables, then can then reduce the number of records they need to change and specifically that client updates are just reported in as particular bits of data. Steve said that with the current workload this was not a feasible solution at this time. General outcome of Steve's thoughts were that this idea is too late to implement and that if DCF wants to pursue it they would need to do it on their end. Nathan reviewed that even if this problem exists, there is still the expectation that all of this data will be available once the switch had occurred. Sharyn asked to table this discussion until they had all had a chance to review the base tables as that would inform them if there would an ability to alter some of the data submission processes. Nathan closed the discussion by stating no changes will be implemented at this time and any errors on records coming in should be rectified with the switch to v14.

5. Discuss Proposed Rule Changes *<mark>NEW</mark>*
DCF is reviewing several of the rules in FASAMS regarding CGAS (only going to be required once at initial admission), Disorder code (not going to require frequency, age of first use, codes 98 and 99), and POMS (suspended for V14 historical where a V13 exists). DCF would like to collect feedback from the committee regarding these or other recommended rule changes.

Nathan then turned to this item and asked Rich to lead the discussion. Rich said there were 3 rules – 1) CGAS, 2) SA records that's 98 or 99 then all the adjacent information related to that are being turned off and those answers no longer need be achieved and 3) the POMS rule is suspended and currently scheduled to go active again at the end of the month but that may need to be relaxed. He asked if there are any other rules the ME's and EHRs want changed or altered. Jesse said those rule changes are undergoing some work and will be deployed by the end of this month. Steve asked for a recap of why CGAS only allowable at admission and not in subsequent POMs. Rich said they can still upload that data but the FASAMS will not require it any longer going forward. Rich asked for a spreadsheet to be sent to him detailing the data elements and format needed from FASAMS to XML to uploaded into the system. Sharyn then raised concern that various reporting requirements seem to come from different parts of DCF without any conversation amongst the units as to the applicability or ability of the MEs and EHRs can obtain. Sharyn said that maybe the contracts people aren't as up to date with FASAMS as they should. She also said she'd like to make a recommendation that someone can use a substance without being required to be labeled as SA when they are not. She clarified that the issue is FASAMS only lists substances and its not clinically correct. Rich said that sounded like a change to field name. Discussion turned to the PBPS reporting standards and Diego's issue that there is a duplicative effort. Nathan said further discussion on the PBPS issue at the next week's JAD. Nathan reviewed that efforts are currently ongoing to obtain a new vendor for the PBPS system and that would provide an opportunity to the MEs and EHRs to address some of their reporting issues. Rich requested a spreadsheet with the data elements that would be included in the XML file Larry requested to simplify the duplication process currently experienced.